UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ROBERT A. MCNEIL, Plaintiff v. COMMISSIONER, INTERNAL REVENUE, *et al.*, Defendants	Civil Action No. 15-1288 (CKK)

ORDER
(October 20, 2015)

On October 19, 2015, Defendant United States of America filed a [4] Motion to Dismiss in the above-captioned case. Plaintiff is representing himself, *pro se*. In *Fox v. Strickland*, 837 F.2d 507 (D.C. Cir. 1988), the Court of Appeals held that a district court must take pains to advise pro se plaintiffs of the consequences of failing to respond to a dispositive motion. "That notice … should include an explanation that the failure to respond … may result in the district court granting the motion and dismissing the case." *Id*. at 509.

In accordance, this Court advises the Plaintiff that he must respond to the Motion to Dismiss filed by the United States by no later than **November 13, 2015**. If Plaintiff does not file a response, the Court will treat the motion as conceded and dismiss this action.

The Clerk of the Court shall mail a copy of this Order to Plaintiff's address of record.

SO ORDERED.

/s/
COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY
United States District Judge